

2023 UK Stewardship Code Summary



2023 UK Stewardship Code Summary

Contents

Introduction	3
Stewardship Code Principles	3
Principle 1	3
Principle 2	3
Principle 3	3
Principle 4	4
Principle 5	4
Principle 6	4
Principle 7	4
Principle 8	5
Principle 9	5
Principle 10	5
Principle 11	5
Principle 12	5
Important information	6

Introduction

The <u>2023 Active Ownership Report</u> (the Report) provides the fullest picture of our active ownership activities during 2023 in accordance with the 2020 UK Stewardship Code (Stewardship Code). For examples of our work during the year, we point the reader towards our **E**, **S** and **G** sections within the Report and our quarterly **ESG** engagement reports on the Report's landing page. The table below provides a short summary and references to the sections within the Report that demonstrate, in particular, how LGIM applies the 12 Principles of the Stewardship Code.

LGIM submitted the Report to the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) in April 2024 for the FRC to review our disclosures on how we applied each of the Stewardship Code Principles in our investment stewardship activities during 2023. On 22 July 2024, the FRC published the <u>list</u> of confirmed signatories to the Stewardship Code, which continues to name **LGIM as a signatory to the 2020 UK Stewardship Code**.

Stewardship Code Principles

Stewardship Code Principles	Sections within the Report	Most relevant pages within the Report
Principle 1 – Signatories' purpose, investment beliefs, strategy, and culture enable stewardship that creates long-term value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society LGIM's purpose is to create a better future through responsible investing. We demonstrate our responsible investment beliefs across asset classes and fund management styles.	Foreword Responsible investment Awards	3, 8-16, 109
We see responsible investing as the incorporation of ESG considerations into investment decisions, alongside constructive engagement with companies, regulators and policymakers, to generate sustainable outcomes.		
Through our 'engagement with consequences', we seek to effect real-world change in line with L&G Group's vision of sharing the benefits of economic growth as broadly as possible.		
 Principle 2 – Signatories' governance, resources and incentives support stewardship Responsible investment activity needs to be fully integrated and overseen across LGIM's formal governance committees. The Investment Stewardship team votes with 'one voice' across all investments where we have discretion, because it operates independently from – but in collaboration with – our portfolio managers. The Head of Investment Stewardship reports directly to LGIM's CEO. 	Responsible investment (inc. GREGs) Voting and reporting Third-party service providers Conflicts of interest	10, 17-19, 91, 97, 100
Our Investment Stewardship team comprises 26 professionals with an average of 12.5 years' experience in areas including responsible investment, investment stewardship, accounting and audit, impact investment, and public policy. This makes the team well positioned to keep abreast of the latest policy, regulatory and industry developments globally.		
For more information, see the <u>Investment Stewardship</u> area on our website.		
 Principle 3 – Signatories manage conflicts of interest to put the best interests of clients and beneficiaries first In our approach to responsible investing in general, and to voting and engagement in particular, we aim to act in a manner consistent with the best interests of all clients. To mitigate potential internal conflicts, the Investment Stewardship team does not share management reporting lines with any of the LGIM investment desks, including the active equity or active fixed income teams. 	Conflicts of interest	100-101
We have a Conflicts of Interest Policy, which is available on our website.		

Principle 4 – Signatories identify and respond to market-wide and systemic risks to promote a well-functioning financial system As a long-term investor, we have a responsibility to ensure that global markets operate efficiently to address systemic risks, foster sustainable and resilient economic growth, and aim to safeguard the value of our clients' assets.	Foreword Responsible investment How we engage Policy advocacy and collaboration Third-	3, 9-11, 20-27, 31, 34-38, 40- 54, 64-70, 99
LGIM's global policy dialogue aims to create a regulatory, policy and legislative environment that raises market standards on key sustainability topics.	party service providers	
Our 2023 policy dialogue involved over 230 meetings with more than a hundred organisations and working groups, across markets including the UK, the US, Japan and Europe.	Climate Nature Health ESG case studies	
In particular, we focused on Nature and Health in our policy work during 2023.	LOG case studies	
 Principle 5 – Signatories review their policies, assure their processes and assess the effectiveness of their activities LGIM's <u>Global Corporate Governance & Responsible Investment Policy</u> sets out our expectations of investee companies and outlines our approach to voting and engagement. Our voting decisions are guided by policies that are researched and fine-tuned every year. They incorporate specific market policies that allow for local nuances to align with best practice. 	Stakeholder engagement Voting and reporting Policies and processes Awards	32, 88-95, 109
All our policies are available on our <u>website</u> .		
 Principle 6 – Signatories take account of client and beneficiary needs and communicate the activities and outcomes of their stewardship and investment to them Transparency of our voting activity is critical for investee companies, clients and other interested parties to be able to hold us to account. As such, we provide historical vote decisions and rationales for all votes against management on our vote disclosure website. This website includes historic voting data from 1 January 2017 onwards. In addition to our annual Active Ownership Report, we publish quarterly ESG engagement reports setting out our stewardship activities and outcomes for that quarter. The latest ESG engagement reports can be found on our website. To ensure that our voting decisions are aligned with the wishes of our clients, we undertake regular consultations with asset owners. These provide our clients with assurance and knowledge, and allow us to obtain direct feedback on their experiences and expectations. For a breakdown of AUM by asset class and region and LGIM's client types, see pages 92 and 96 of the Report. 	Responsible investment Stakeholder engagement Voting and reporting Policies and processes AUM and client breakdown	8-15, 32, 88- 92, 95-96
 Principle 7 – Signatories systematically integrate stewardship and investment, including material environmental, social and governance issues, and climate change, to fulfil their responsibilities We believe asset managers play a central role in ensuring the responsible allocation of capital, from tackling the climate crisis to addressing inequality. We believe ESG factors can be financially material. We have therefore established an integrated approach across both public and private assets, based on investment stewardship and collaborative research undertaken by our active investment teams. The Responsible Investment section of the Report demonstrates how we integrate ESG topics into our investment and stewardship activities. For more information on our approach, please read our <u>Sustainability Policy</u>. 	Foreword Responsible investment (inc. GREGs) How we engage Policies and processes Third- party service providers Climate Nature ESG case studies	3, 8-16, 20-21, 34-54, 64-65, 94-95, 99

Principle 3 – Signatories monitor and hold to account managers and/or service providers Third-party services providers 97-99 We use the voting information services of ISS and receive research reports on UK companies from VICs, the research team of the UK Investment Association, as well as data from providers including Refinitiv, Sustainabilities and Bioomberg. Third-party services providers 97-99 We regularly review the quality of the data on which we base our voting decisions remains high and offers value for money. Responsible mechanism on Now We use proxy advisory services, see our policy. Principle 9 - Signatories engage with issuers to maintain or enhance the value of advisory and avoid the transment Stawardship team held 364 meetings or calls and 2,136 with any include portfolio managers and active research analysts across asset classes, please Depending on the topic, a thematic expert may also be present, for example, on remuneration health and people matters, or climate change; the associations and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included associations and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on age 31, and examples of our stakeholded in a number of supporter of multiple associations and initiatives working on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulator, fluative peers and our stakeholded in a number of acea studies. Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, sectiate strewardship activities to minuter allow work are provided in a number of acea studies. Principle 12 - Signatories working on stake association as well as a stake in the collaboration ESG case studies 9-12, 20-21, 3-34, 40-41, 4-46, 63, 59- 61, 67-70 Wrindy examples the outhor stake association or which wex			
We use the voling information services of ISS and receive research reports on UK companies from IVS, the research team of the UK Investment Association, as well as voling research and proprietary ESG assessment tools, as well as data from providers including Refinitiv, Sustainalytics and Bloomborg. Responsible investment and the quality of the data on which we base our voling decisions remains high and offers value for money. 9-11, 20-29, 8-87 Principle 9 – Signatories engage with issuers to maintain or enhance the value of assets Responsible investment Stewardship team held 364 meetings or calls and 2,136 written engagement in 2023. These meetings are normally attended by the sociol research, analysts across asset classes. Depending on the topic, a thematic expert may also be present, for example, on remuneration, health and people matters, or climate change. Responsible investment ESG case studies 9-11, 20-29, 84-37 Principle 10 – Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaboration. Influence Issuers. Policy advocacy and collaboration collaboration. 223-31, 40-41, 44-48, 23-32 Principle 11 – Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaboration. Policy advocacy and collaboration engagement Policy. 223-31, 40-41, 44-48, 23-32 Principle 11 – Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaboration. Policy advocacy and collaboration engage with westee companies on ESG itsmes, all to which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies. 823-31, 40-41, 44-48, 23-32 Principle 11 – Signatories, where encessary, eacalate stewardship activities to influence Issuers. <			97-99
providers to ensure that the quality of the data on which we base our voting decisions remains high and offers value for money. Principle 3 – Signatories engage with issuers to maintain or enhance the value of asets. Responsible responsible and comparing the summer of the summer of the value of asets. Perinciple 3 – Signatories engage with issuers to maintain or enhance the value of may include portfolio managers and active research analysts across asset classes. Depending on the topic a thematic expert may also be present, for example, on remuneration, health and people matters, or climate change. Responsible angagement ESG case studies 9-11, 20-29, 84-87 Principle 10 - Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaboration engagement to influence issuers Policy advocacy and collaboration LAIVE ESG case studies 23-31, 40-41, 44-65, 53, 56- 61, 67-70 Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, escalate stewardship activities to influence issuers Policy advocacy and collaboration ESG case studies 23-31, 40-41, 44-65, 53, 64-62, 64-67, 75-70 Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, escalate stewardship activities to influence issuers Policy advocacy and collaboration ESG case studies 23-31, 40-41, 44-65, 55, 56, 64-62, 64-70, 75-70 We angage with investee companies on ESG issues, and work with policy associations and initatives working on ESG issues, and work with policy makers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Pelicy advocacy and collaboration ESG case studies 9-12, 20-21, 8-46, 70, 75-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-70, 8-16, 20-	companies from IVIS, the research team of the UK Investment Association, as well as voting research from proxy adviser Glass Lewis. We use this analysis to augment our own research and proprietary ESG assessment tools, as well as data from		
Principle 9 - Signatories engage with issuers to maintain or enhance the value of assets Responsible investment Stewardship team held 364 meetings or calls and 2.136 written engagements in 2023. These meetings are normally attended by the sector lead and may include portfolio managers and active research analysts across saset classes. Depending on the topic, a thematic expert may also be present, for example, on remuneration, health and people matters, or climate change. Responsible investment Stewardship control of matter sector lead and may include portfolio managers and active research analysts across saset classes. 9-11, 20-29, 84-87 The taxe engage drost frequently on climate change. The team engaged most frequently on climate change. Policy advocasy and collaborative associations and initiatives or climate change. Policy advocasy and collaborative association how the team prioritises engagement across asset classes, please see our <i>Engagement</i> 100 regression and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies. Policy advocasy and collaboration and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies. Sec case studies 9-12, 20-21, 34-36, 40-45, 53-64-62, 56-76, 76-79, 76-7	providers to ensure that the quality of the data on which we base our voting decisions		
assets investment Stewardship team held 364 meetings or calls and 2,136 written engagements in 2023. These meetings are normally attended by the sector lead and may include portfoin managers and active research analysts across asset classes. Depending on the topic, a thematic expert may also be present, for example, on remuneration, health and people matters, or climate change. investment 1 how we engage i Policy advocasy and collaboration Active engagement The team engaged most frequently on climate change. For indire Change, for a breakdown of topics discussed and companies most frequently on climate change. Policy advocasy and collaboration ESG case studies Principle 10 – Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaborative drive change through collaboration. LGIM is a member or supporter of multiple associations and initiatives working on ESG sues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Responsible investment How we engage 9-12, 20-21, 144.46, 53, 50- 61, 67-70 We regulae with investe companies on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Responsible investment How we engage We may vote against company management as part of our voting policy and witi yignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies in the US. We also showcase various stewardship activities to ubrough voting escalations applied on governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are severe or repeatedly ignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies in the US. We also showcase aprolem on deforestation or ethnic diversity, as well as through vot	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
The Investment Stewardship team held 364 meetings or calls and 2,136 written engagements in 2023. These meetings are normally attended by the sector lead and avoically and eveloped metatics. In the sector lead and avoically and eveloped metatics or climate change. Collaboration (Active engagement Leads). The team engaged most frequently on climate change. The team engaged most frequently engaged with, see pages 85-87 of the Report. For more detail on how the leam prioritises engagement across asset classes, please see our Engagement Policy. Policy advocacy and collaborative engagement Policy. Principle 10 - Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaborative engagement to influence issuers Policy advocacy and collaboration ESG case studies 23.31, 40.41, 60.53, 56- 61, 67-70 Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, escalate stewardship activities to influence issuers Policy advocacy and collaboration ESG case studies 23.31, 40.41, 60.53, 56- 61, 67-70 Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, escalate stewardship activities to influence issuers Responsible measures, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Policy advocacy and collaboration ESG case studies 9-12, 20-21, 34-38, 40-46, 55-63, 56-62, 64-70, 75-79, 81-32 We engage with investee companies on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Responsible measures, we and work are specific on conses where our concerns are sever or repeated by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are sever or repe			
discussed and companies most frequently engaged with, see pages 85-87 of the Report. Principle 10 - Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaborative engagement bolicy. Policy advocacy and collaboration in collaborative engagement to influence issuers 23-31, 40-41, 44-46, 53, 59-61, 57-70 Principle 10 - Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaborative associations and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies Policy advocacy and collaboration. ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Policy advocacy and collaboration. ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Policy advocacy and collaboration. ESG case studies 23-31, 40-41, 44-46, 53, 59-61, 67-70 We engage with investee companies on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Responsible investment How we engage ESG case studies 9-12, 20-21, 34-38, 40-46, 50-53, 56-62, 64-70, 75-79, 81-82 We may vote against company management as part of our voting policy and will escalate our vote to address directors' accountability for governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our encelation steps through engagement programmes such as the Climate Impact Pledge, or campaigns on deforestation or ethnic diversity, as well as through voting escalations applied on governance issues such as combined Chair/CDC roles or one-share-one-take encelstare binet ingoled finds. As such, LGIM votes with one voice on a	The Investment Stewardship team held 364 meetings or calls and 2,136 written engagements in 2023. These meetings are normally attended by the sector lead and may include portfolio managers and active research analysts across asset classes. Depending on the topic, a thematic expert may also be present, for example, on	engage Policy advocacy and collaboration Active engagement	04-07
see our Engagement Policy. Principle 10 - Signatories, where necessary, participate in collaborative engagement to influence issuers Policy advocacy and collaboration. 23-31, 40-41, 44-46, 53, 59-61, 67-70 We regularly work with peers, industry groups, NGOs, academia and civil society to drive change through collaboration. LGIM is a member or supporter of multiple associations and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies. Policy advocacy and collaboration. ESG case studies Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, escalate stewardship activities to influence issuers. Influence issuers Responsible investment How we engage 9-12, 20-21, 34, 40-46, 50-53, 56-52, 50-53, 56-52, 50-53, 56-52, 50-53, 56-52, 56-54, 70, 75-79, 81-82 We may vote against company management as part of our voting policy and will escalate our vote to address directors' accountability for governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are severe or repeatedly ignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies that fail to meet our minimum standards. Responsible 9-12, 20-21, 81-82 Principle 12 - Signatories actively exercise their rights and responsibilities markets globally. Responsible 9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108 We aim to keep abstentions to a minimum. We have specific custom voting policies at global and individual case studies. Responsible 9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108 We demonstrate where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no vo	discussed and companies most frequently engaged with, see pages 85-87 of the Report.		
engagement to influence issuers 44-46, 53, 52- 61, 67-70 We regularly work with peers, industry groups, NGOs, academia and civil society to drive change through collaboration. LGIM is a member or supporter of multiple associations and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies. EG case studies Principle 11 - Signatories, where necessary, escalate stewardship activities to influence issuers Responsible investment How we engage 9-12, 20-21, 34-38, 40-46, 50-53, 56-62, 65-62, 65-70, 75-79 We engage with investee companies on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Responsible investment How we engage 9-12, 20-21, 34-38, 40-46, 50-53, 56-62, 66-70, 75-79 We may vote against company management as part of our voting policy and will escalate our vote to address directors' accountability for governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are severe or repeatedly ignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies that fail to meet our minimum standards. Responsible investment How we engage Active engagement Voing escalations applied on governance issues such as combined Chair/CEO roles or one-share-one-vote concerns in the US. 84-95, 102-108 Principle 12 – Signatories actively exercise their rights and responsibilities markets where we have unimpediments, we vote on clients' investments across all developed and emerging markets globally. 9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108 9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108 9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108			
influence issuers 31.8,40-46, 50-53, 56-62, 64-70, 75-79, 81-82 We engage with investee companies on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market standards. Since the ween engage Since the ween engage We may vote against company management as part of our voting policy and will escalate our vote to address directors' accountability for governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are severe or repeatedly ignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies that fail to meet our minimum standards. Since the weet of the w	engagement to influence issuers We regularly work with peers, industry groups, NGOs, academia and civil society to drive change through collaboration. LGIM is a member or supporter of multiple associations and initiatives working on ESG themes, a list of which is included on page 31, and examples of our work are provided in a number of case studies.	collaboration	44-46, 53, 59-
escalate our vote to address directors' accountability for governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are severe or repeatedly ignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies that fail to meet our minimum standards. We demonstrate our escalation steps through engagement programmes such as the <u>Climate Impact Pledge</u> , or campaigns on deforestation or ethnic diversity, as well as through voting escalations applied on governance issues such as combined Chair/CEO roles or one-share-one-vote concerns in the US. We also showcase various stewardship activities in individual case studies. Principle 12 – Signatories actively exercise their rights and responsibilities The majority of our clients' shares are held in pooled funds. As such, LGIM votes with one voice on all shares for which it has the authority to do so. Where there are no legal or practical impediments, we vote on clients' investments across all developed and emerging markets globally. We use ISS's ProxyExchange voting platform to vote electronically to ensure, in markets where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no votes remain unexercised. We aim to keep abstentions to a minimum. We have specific custom voting policies at global and individual market levels, although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year. In 2023, LGIM cast almost 149,000 votes at over 15,580 meetings. For 2023 voting	influence issuers We engage with investee companies on ESG issues, and work with policymakers, regulators, industry peers and our stakeholders as we seek to raise overall market	investment How we engage	34-38, 40-46, 50-53, 56-62, 64-70, 75-79,
Climate Impact Pledge, or campaigns on deforestation or ethnic diversity, as well as through voting escalations applied on governance issues such as combined Chair/CEO roles or one-share-one-vote concerns in the US. We also showcase various stewardship activities in individual case studies.Responsible investment How we engage Active engagement Voting and reporting Policies and processes9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108Principle 12 - Signatories actively exercise their rights and responsibilities The majority of our clients' shares are held in pooled funds. As such, LGIM votes with one voice on all shares for which it has the authority to do so. Where there are no legal or practical impediments, we vote on clients' investments across all developed and emerging markets globally.9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108We use ISS's ProxyExchange voting platform to vote electronically to ensure, in markets where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no votes remain unexercised. We aim to keep abstentions to a minimum.9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108We have specific custom voting policies at global and individual market levels, although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year. In 2023, LGIM cast almost 149,000 votes at over 15,580 meetings. For 2023 voting8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-12, 20-21, 8-10, 20-21, 8-10, 20-21, 8-10, 20-21, 8-10, 20-21, 8-10, 20-21, 20-21, 20-21, 20-21,	escalate our vote to address directors' accountability for governance failures by opposing their re-election in cases where our concerns are severe or repeatedly ignored. In certain funds, we will divest from companies that fail to meet our minimum		
Principle 12 – Signatories actively exercise their rights and responsibilities The majority of our clients' shares are held in pooled funds. As such, LGIM votes with one voice on all shares for which it has the authority to do so. Where there are no legal or practical impediments, we vote on clients' investments across all developed and emerging markets globally.8-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108We use ISS's ProxyExchange voting platform to vote electronically to ensure, in markets where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no votes remain unexercised. We into keep abstentions to a minimum.Voting statistics ESG case studies9-12, 20-21, 84-95, 102-108We have specific custom voting policies at global and individual market levels, although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year. In 2023, LGIM cast almost 149,000 votes at over 15,580 meetings. For 2023 voting8-12, 20-21, Bolicies and Policies and Policies and processes	<u>Climate Impact Pledge</u> , or campaigns on deforestation or ethnic diversity, as well as through voting escalations applied on governance issues such as combined Chair/CEO roles or one-share-one-vote concerns in the US.		
The majority of our clients' shares are held in pooled funds. As such, LGIM votes with one voice on all shares for which it has the authority to do so. Where there are no legal or practical impediments, we vote on clients' investments across all developed and emerging markets globally. We use ISS's ProxyExchange voting platform to vote electronically to ensure, in markets where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no votes remain unexercised. We aim to keep abstentions to a minimum. We have specific custom voting policies at global and individual market levels, although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year. In 2023, LGIM cast almost 149,000 votes at over 15,580 meetings. For 2023 voting	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 markets where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no votes remain unexercised. We aim to keep abstentions to a minimum. We have specific custom voting policies at global and individual market levels, although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year. In 2023, LGIM cast almost 149,000 votes at over 15,580 meetings. For 2023 voting 	The majority of our clients' shares are held in pooled funds. As such, LGIM votes with one voice on all shares for which it has the authority to do so. Where there are no legal or practical impediments, we vote on clients' investments across all developed and emerging markets globally.	investment How we engage Active engagement Voting and reporting Policies and	
although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year. In 2023, LGIM cast almost 149,000 votes at over 15,580 meetings. For 2023 voting	markets where we have unimpeded voting rights, that no votes remain unexercised. We aim to keep abstentions to a minimum.	Voting statistics	
	although we retain the ability to override any vote decisions that are based on our policy. Our voting stance differed from ISS recommendations in around 13.1% of votes cast last year.		

Important information

Key risks

The value of an investment and any income taken from it is not guaranteed and can go down as well as up, you may not get back the amount you originally invested.

Important information

The views expressed in this document are those of Legal & General Investment Management Limited and/or its affiliates ('Legal & General', 'we' or 'us') as at the date of publication. This document is for information purposes only and we are not soliciting any action based on it. The information above discusses general economic, market or political issues and/or industry or sector trends. It does not constitute research or investment, legal or tax advice. It is not an offer or recommendation or advertisement to buy or sell securities or pursue a particular investment strategy.

No party shall have any right of action against Legal & General in relation to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this document. The information is believed to be correct as at the date of publication, but no assurance can be given that this document is complete or accurate in the light of information that may become available after its publication. We are under no obligation to update or amend the information in this document. Where this document contains third party information, the accuracy and completeness of such information cannot be guaranteed and we accept no responsibility or liability in respect of such information.

This document may not be reproduced in whole or in part or distributed to third parties without our prior written permission. Not for distribution to any person resident in any jurisdiction where such distribution would be contrary to local law or regulation.

© 2024 Legal & General Investment Management Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, No. 119272. Registered in England and Wales No. 02091894 with registered office at One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA.